21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	BRUCE A. KILDAY.ESQ, SBN 66415 ANGELO, KILDAY & KILDUFF	(SPACE BELOW FOR FILING STAMP ONLY)
2	Attorneys at Law	FILED YOLO SUPERIOR COURT
3	601 University Avenue, Suite 150 Sacramento, CA 95825	
4	Telephone: (916) 564-6100	JUL 2-1 2008
5	Telecopier: (916) 564-6263	BY SEMSEN
6	Attorneys for Defendants, CITY OF WOODLAND POLICE DEPARTME	CALL.
7	CITY OF WOODLAND, POLICE OFFICER N	•
8	and POLICE OFFICER D. BEAL	•
9	SITPERIOR COUR	T OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	COUNTY	OF YOLO
12	KARI R. DIXON,	) Case No.: CV 03536
13	Plaintiff	) ORDER CONFIRMING SETTLEMENT
14	I Millionia,	)
15	vs.	) )
16	CITY OF WOODLAND POLICE	)
17	DEPARTMENT; THE CITY OF WOODLAND, and the individuals, POLICE	) }
18	OFFICER MICHELE GIGANTE, POLICE	)
19	OFFICER D. BEAL (#864) and DOES 1-10,	) )
20	Defendants.	, )
~~		

On May 15, 2006, a settlement conference was conducted before the Honorable Donna Petre in Department 4 of the Superior Court of Yolo County. Plaintiff Kari Dixon was present with her attorney, Christine L. Garcia of The Animal Law Office located in San Francisco, California. The City of Woodland and Officer Gigante were represented by Bruce Kilday of Angelo, Kilday & Kilduff and Bob Martin of YCPARMIA.

After discussion with the parties and counsel, a settlement agreement was reached. The Court inquired specifically of Ms. Dixon, both counsel and Mr. Martin, and confirmed that the settlement was the desire of the parties and was entered into voluntarily. The Court announced

1.1.

 that the settlement was the result of a judicially supervised settlement process and the parties stipulated the settlement was enforceable under C.C.P. § 664.6.

The terms of the settlement are:

- 1. There is no payment of damages to the plaintiff. Four Hundred Dollars (\$400.00) that plaintiff had previously paid in sanctions will be reimbursed to her. Additionally, Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$250.00) in sanctions that are unpaid will be waived.
- Officer Michelle Gigante will prepare a letter to the plaintiff expressing her regret at
  the loss of Ms. Dixon's dog. Further, Officer Gigante has agreed to discuss this incident in
  mentoring sessions with younger officers.
- 3. Bruce Kilday, as attorney for the defendants, has agreed to incorporate reference to a case dealing with the shooting of an animal in a class that he teaches for POST on Officer Involved Shootings. The topic will be included in the next revision of the Syllabus of Cases and the outline for the course and until such time, this information will be relayed verbally. The topic will appear substantially as follows:

**Fuller v. Vines**, 36 F.3d 65, 67-68 (C.A. 9 (Cal.) 1994). A Fourth Amendment seizure can also include interference with an individual's possessory interest in property, such as shooting an animal or pct. The killing of a dog can be a seizure under the Fourth Amendment.

In context, this information will be taught to the officers to educate them about the consequences of the use of force and to help the officers understand the ramifications of any seizure.

- 4. Bruce Kilday will inform the Attorney who teaches the POST class in Southern California of this revision to the syllabus and forward the attorney this information.
- 5. Mr. Kilday has spoken to the administrative lieutenant of the Woodland Police Department about the issues connected to the possible shooting of animals.

/////

/////

1	
2	
3	Approved as to form:  ANGELO KILDAY & KILDUFF
4	
5	7
6	Dated: July 21, 2008  By:
7	BRUCE A.`KILDAY Attorney for Defendants
8	
9	THE-ANIMAL LAW OFFICE
10	1 DA
11	Dated: July 21, 2008 By:
12 13	CHRISTINE GARCIA Attorney for Plaintiff
14	
15	ORDER /
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.
17	Dated: 7-21-08 HONORABLE JUDGE OF THE
18	SUPERIOR COURT
19	J. KENT O'MARA
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
27	
28	
-	
	-3-